

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

CWP-15576-2022

Date of decision : 21.07.2022

MAHAK SINGH DHAMA

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Dr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was entitled for the grant of 2nd ACP on completion of 20 years of service which service the petitioner has completed on 01.12.1993 and 3rd ACP on completion of 30 years service which the petitioner completed on 01.12.2003, which benefit though admissible to the petitioner was never granted. Learned counsel submits that the respondents are liable to be directed to grant the said benefit to the petitioner along with the consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further argues that the claim as being raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner with the respondents vide legal notice dated 01.05.2022 (Annexure P-9) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the said representation in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 01.05.2022 (Annexure P-9) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner on 01.05.2022 (Annexure P-9), respondent No.2 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 01.05.2022 (Annexure P-9) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

21.07.2022

rimpall

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No