

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

225

CRWP-8136-2021

Date of decision: 20.01.2022

JASPAL SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present : Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of necessary directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty against danger from respondents No. 4 to 6 on the averments that petitioner no.1 and petitioner no.2 are parents of petitioner no.3- Gursimran Singh. Petitioner No.3-Gursimran Singh and petitioner No.4- Jaskaran Kaur are in live-in-relationship on account of love affair between them. They both want to marry each other but respondents No. 4 to 6 are against their love affair and live-in-relationship being inter-caste.

The petitioners earlier approached this Court vide CRWP No. 6814 of 2021 for protection of their life and liberty and the petition was disposed of vide order dated 23.07.2021 with direction to

respondent No. 2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and to assess the threat perception and pass appropriate order on representation moved by the petitioners.

While issuing notice of motion on 27.08.2021, respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran was directed to file response whether any decision has been taken by his/her office in compliance of order dated 23.07.2021 passed in CRWP-6814-2021.

In response to the same, status report by way of affidavit of Harvinder Singh Virk, PPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran was filed.

In para No. 4 of the reply, it has been mentioned that father of petitioner No. 4 namely Bikramjit Singh was included in the inquiry and his statement was recorded who stated that her daughter Jaskaran Kaur left their house on 18.07.2021 without informing them. Thereafter, he came to know that her daughter Jaskaran Kaur was living with petitioner No.3 – Gursimran Singh and from the day when she left her house, she did not come back to their village nor she communicated with them. He further stated that he did not threaten Jaskaran Kaur (petitioner No. 4) and Gurimran Singh (petitioner No. 3) and neither he will interfere in their lives nor will he do so in future.

Further, in the reply, it has been mentioned that petitioner No.3 and petitioner No.4 are now residing in an undisclosed location and no one knew their address and instructions have already been issued to Station House Officer, Police Station Patti that whenever petitioner No.3 and petitioner No.4 come present or contact regarding their security, then security be provided to them in accordance with law.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the reply filed on behalf of respondent No. 2, the present petition may be disposed of with liberty to get the same restored in case of apprehension of any danger from respondents No. 4 to 6 in future.

Disposed of with liberty as aforesaid.

20.01.2022

kavneet singh

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No