

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

223

CRWP-7011-2022 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 12.09.2022

Deepak

Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present: Dr. Bandana Trikha Sachdev, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, Asstt. A.G., Haryana  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for quashing of impugned order dated 02.06.2022 (Annexure P-1) whereby the application of the petitioner for grant of 04 weeks parole has been rejected and for issuance of direction to the respondents to grant 04 weeks' parole to the petitioner for repair of his house in terms of Section 3(1)(d) of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988.

Reply by way of an affidavit dated 12.09.2022 of Shailakshi Bhardwaj, Deputy Superintendent, District Jail, Karnal on behalf of the respondents has been filed in the Court today which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has owned ancestral house in the village and he wants to avail parole to repair his deplorable house. The parents of the petitioner are very old age and there is no other capable person in the family to

repair the house of the petitioner. The Panchayat has also recommended release of the petitioner on parole for repair of house in time to avoid any mishappening. The petitioner has also availed two time parole earlier without an offence or breach of peace. Therefore, the petitioner may be released on 04 weeks parole.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposed the present petition on the grounds that the petitioner has parents and three brothers in the family who are capable for doing the repair of the house. Earlier, the petitioner has already availed first time 336 days special parole w.e.f. 17.03.2020 to 22.02.2021 and second time 03 months and 11 days special parole for Covid-19 pandemic. Therefore, the present petition may be dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the paper-book.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has three brothers in his family who are capable for doing the repair of the house and the petitioner had already availed parole twice, no ground for releasing him on parole is made out.

Dismissed.

12.09.2022

*kothiyal*

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)  
JUDGE

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable:        | Yes/No |