

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-6948-2022 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 02.09.2022**

Parveen Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present:     None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Yadav, Advocate for respondent No. 4 and detenue.

\*\*\*\*

**HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)**

Present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus to get released detenues-Tannu, wife of the petitioner, and his infant daughter-Yashika, who is alleged to be illegally detained by the private respondents, by appointing a Warrant Officer.

Status report by way of affidavit dated 01.08.2022 of the Superintendent of Police, Charkhi Dadri, already filed in the Registry is taken on record.

At this stage, Mr. Sandeep Yadav, Advocate, appears and files his power of attorney on behalf of respondent No. 4 and detenue in the Court, the same is taken on record.

On 19.07.2022, it was contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that on 20.05.2020, the petitioner solemnized marriage with detenue-Tannu against the wishes of the private respondents; that one female baby, namely, Yashika (detenue) was born out of the said wedlock; that the petitioner along with his wife and his infant daughter were living happily, but on one day, the private respondents had visited the house of the petitioner and stated that they had no grudge against the petitioner and his wife and also requested him to send detenue-Tannu along with her infant daughter to her parental home; that on the aforesaid pretext, the private respondents took the detenue-Tannu along with infant daughter to the place of their residence, and that the private respondents have illegally detained the detenues and even the petitioner was not allowed to talk with detenue-Tannu.

Vide order dated 19.07.2022 passed by this Court, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Charkhi Dadri, was directed to depute a Gazetted police officer along with a Lady Constable to visit the house of respondents No.4 to 7 and make an enquiry as to whether detenue-Tannu along with her infant daughter is residing there with her own consent or has been detained by the private respondents illegally or forcibly.

Learned State counsel points out that Tannu, the alleged detenue, in her statement attached with the aforesaid status report as Annexure R-1, has specifically stated that she, after leaving her matrimonial home on 28.07.2021, is residing happily with her parents and does not want to join the company of her husband-the petitioner. The statements of parents of Tannu were also recorded; wherein they have specifically stated that they have no objection, if their daughter-Tannu wants to reside at her in-law house.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the paper-book.

Since Tannu herself does not want to join the company of her husband and is happily residing with her parents at parental house, therefore, no further orders are called for in the present petition.

The present petition is dismissed.

**02.09.2022**

*Mangal Singh*

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |