

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(125)

CRM-M-39569-2022
Date of decision:- 02.09.2022

Ramandeep Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Rai, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

This is the second petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No.49 dated 23.07.2019, registered for offences under Sections 306, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short "IPC"), at Police Station Rureke Kalan, District Barnala, Annexure P-1, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 25.10.2021, Annexure P-2, arrived at between the parties.

In view of the judgment of the Supreme Court in ***Daxaben Versus State of Gujrat and others 2022 SCC Online SC 936*** and this Court in ***CRM-M-38402-2021 titled as Jobandeep Singh Versus State of Punjab and another***, FIR registered under Section 306, IPC cannot be quashed on the basis of a compromise.

The earlier petition (CRM-M-49101-2021) was withdrawn by the counsel for the petitioner on 06.12.2021, when he was confronted with the legal position. Second petition with the same prayer is an abuse of the process and deserves to be dismissed with costs.

Petition is dismissed with costs, which are quantified at Rs.10,000/- and are directed to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association.

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

02.09.2022

Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No