

**234 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-3160-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.04.2022

SUSHANK MISHRA AND ANR

... PETITIONERS

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : None for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have assailed the order dated 16.11.2015 passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala vide which they have been ordered to be summoned to face trial for the offence under Sections 354-A, 306 and 376 IPC on the application under Section 319 Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Raj Kapoor, has pointed out that the trial of the case has concluded and the present petition has become infructuous.

In view of the categoric assertion made by learned State counsel, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

However, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioners. They shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the petition within a period of three weeks, if the aforesaid assertion of learned State counsel is found to be factually incorrect.

06.04.2022

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No