

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

266

CRM-M-32164-2022

Date of decision : 05.12.2022

Jaspreet Singh and Anr.

..... Petitioners

V/S

State of Haryana and Anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. G.S.Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, A.A.G, Haryana.

Mr. Ashok Vats, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)

The petitioners-Jaspreet Singh and Balwinder Kaur have filed the instant petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing the FIR No.307 dated 15.06.2016, registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC (Section 354 of IPC added later on) at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra on the basis of compromise between the parties.

As per the facts of the case, the complainant-Amandeep Kaur filed a written complaint to the police against Jaspreet Singh and another, alleging that her marriage was performed with the petitioner No.1 on 04.12.2011. Her father had given SWIFT LDI car, 32 tola gold jewellery and other valuable articles. He had given ornaments to her husband, father-in-law, mother-in-law and other relatives. After marriage she noticed that her in-laws family was unhappy and after few

days her mother-in-law told her that they had selected a girl of dark complexion, with a hope that she would bring more dowry, so they felt cheated. In August, 2012 her husband and mother-in-law started quarreling with her. Her in-laws called her parents in night time and started complaining about her. Thereafter, she conceived and gave birth to a daughter. Her in-laws started telling her to get a room constructed on the first floor with the help of her father. Her father provided help from time to time in the construction of house. Her husband and in-laws were unhappy with the birth of daughter. She was physically and mentally tortured in the matrimonial home. Her husband was residing away from the matrimonial home on account of his job at different places. She requested him to get his posting near the house and on this he stated that he wanted to stay away and also raised demand of Rs.20 lacs. When she did not listen to her husband on account of their demand of dowry she was beaten up, she has narrated various incidents which took place in the matrimonial home on account of their demand of cash amount. Ultimately, she was turned out of the matrimonial home on 07.03.2016, with these allegations present FIR has been registered.

The petitioners filed this petition for the quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. The petitioners and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. The detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the the court of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Shahabad dated 20.08.2022. The statement of complainant-Amandeep Kaur has been recorded, where she confirmed the compromise with the petitioners. She

confirmed that this compromise has been effected without any pressure, coercion from any side and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

The petitioners-Japreet Singh and Balwinder Kaur also confirmed this fact in their separate statement. The statement of ASI Hans Raj is also recorded who further confirmed that the accused are not involved in any other case nor have been declared as proclaimed offenders.

Therefore, from the report of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Shahabad, it is clear that the compromise has been effected between the parties without any pressure, coercion or undue influence, which is acceptable to both the parties. They have mutually settled all their disputes and fulfill all the terms and conditions of written compromised dated 08.07.2022. Now they will be able to live peacefully and future litigation will also come to an end. Therefore, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings.

Therefore considering these facts the petition filed by the petitioners is accepted and the FIR No.307 dated 15.06.2016, registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC (Section 354 of IPC added later on) at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

05.12.2022
Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No