

108.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-16613-2022

Date of Decision: 01.08.2022

RAHUL KHAN

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Intizar Ul Hasan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the present writ petition seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus to be issued to respondent No.4 to enter the name of the petitioner in the register of Haryana State Pharmacy Council and to issue him licence.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has done Bachelor of Pharmacy from NIMS University, Jaipur (Rajasthan), which is recognized by the Pharmacy Council of India. It is submitted that the petitioner has deposited all the documents and paid the prescribed fees for registration, but till date nothing has been done by the Council. It is further submitted that on similar set of facts, this Court in ***Sajid Khan and another Vs. State of Haryana and others***, CWP No.1881 of 2022, decided on 03.02.2022 and in ***Warish Vs. State of Haryana***, CWP No.21604 of 2021, decided on 27.10.2021, has permitted the petitioners therein to submit the requisite fee through demand draft as well as the affidavit giving

details of the institutions where the petitioners therein had studied and acquired the pharmacy degree and directed the respondents therein to register them on the roll of the Council and to issue necessary certificates, in case their degrees were found to be genuine.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has submitted representation dated 11.02.2022 (Annexure P-7) to the Registrar, Haryana State Pharmacy Council i.e. respondent No.4 ventilating his grievance but no action has been taken thereon so far. He would submit that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case his said representation is decided, in a time bound manner, in terms of the judgments passed by this Court in *Sajid Khan* and *Waris's cases (supra)*.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana and Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate, who are present in Court, accept notice on behalf of respondent No.1 as well as respondents No.2 to 4 respectively. Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.2 to 4 submits that respondent No.4 will look into the matter and take a decision in accordance with law.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied the counsel appearing for the respondents during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, I dispose of the instant petition by directing respondent No.4 to consider the representation dated 11.02.2022 (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner, and take a decision thereon, in terms of judgments passed by this Court in the cases of *Sajid Khan* and *Waris (supra)*, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and convey the result thereof to the

petitioner, failing which, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to deposit costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

01.08.2022

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No