

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

117

CWP-15769-2022 (O & M)

Date of Decision: July 22, 2022

RINKAL DABRA

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order of the Educational Tribunal whereby his claim for release of salary has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was employed as an Assistant Professor with respondent No.5-College. He was a regular employee and, therefore, he was entitled to the salary in the pay scale of Rs.15600 - 39100/-, which was the salary of the Assistant Professor as per the AICTE norms. He also submits that the respondent-College had sent the details of the salary of the petitioner to the Kurukshetra University (Annexures P-10 to P-17).

Heard.

The petitioner had been appointed as Assistant Professor with respondent No.5-College and was given a consolidated pay of Rs.21600/-. Later his salary had been increased to Rs.26,300/-. I am in agreement with the finding of the Tribunal that the petitioner was, therefore, getting salary more than the minimum of the pay scale. The petitioner was an employee of a private unaided self financed institution and, therefore, he could not claim

salary of a regular employee of Government aided college/Government College. The petitioner has sought a claim of Rs.11,39,563/- towards difference in salary but the calculation and the break up as to how this amount has been worked out, is not forthcoming. The petitioner has raised claim after 03 years of having left service.

Consequently, I do not find any infirmity in the order of the Educational Tribunal while exercising writ jurisdiction.

The petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 22, 2022
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No