

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.215-A**

**CRM-M No. 35165 of 2021  
Date of decision: 07.02.2022**

**Irfan**

**..Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana**

**..Respondent**

***(Heard through Video-Conferencing)***

**BEFORE: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

**Present:** Mohd. Uzair, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl. A.G., Haryana  
for the respondent -State.

\*\*\*

**MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA J. (Oral)**

Reply filed on behalf of the respondent-State, by way of the affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Tigaon, District Faridabad and Annexures R-1 to R-5 as well as their vernacular versions, are already available on the file and these documents are taken on the record.

However, learned State counsel, on the instructions from HC Ganesh from Police Station Sadar Ballabgarh, Faridabad, apprises the Court that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and now, the case is scheduled to be listed before the trial Court on 09.02.2022 for adducing defence evidence as well as for hearing final arguments.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition, while praying that the trial

Court be directed to decide the case, pending before it, within some specific time frame.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

However, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial and decide the case, as pending before it, preferably upto 28.02.2022.

**07.02.2022**  
pooja

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned                      Yes

Whether Reportable                                  No