

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(214)

CWP-13321-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision : October 12, 2022

Rajbir Singh and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-18813-CWP-2018

As prayed for, the application is allowed.

Replication is taken on record.

CWP-13321-2015

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that their claim for retrospective promotion from May, 1997 has been declined by the respondents by the impugned order dated 15.02.2015 (Annexure P-17).

During the pendency of the writ petition, the respondents have filed an affidavit of Mr. B.S. Sehrawat, District Education Officer, Hisar wherein, it has been mentioned that the claim of the petitioners has been reconsidered and vide order dated 22.09.2016, the petitioners have been

granted retrospective promotion w.e.f. 15.07.1996.

There is no rebuttal to the said factual averments.

The petitioners have been granted the retrospective promotion even from a date prior to the date being claimed in the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, on the instructions of one of the petitioner, submits that though the orders of retrospective promotion have been passed but the consequential benefits have not been given to the petitioners such as ACPs which are required to be re-assessed keeping in view the retrospective promotion.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any such grievance is raised by the petitioners by filing a representation, the same will be considered and appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case, any benefit is found admissible to the petitioners, the same will be released within a further period of four weeks from the decision of the said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file appropriate representation.

Ordered accordingly.

October 12, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No