

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.111

**CRM-M No.31925 of 2022
Date of Decision: 25.07.2022**

Chander Mohan Gaba

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition, while submitting that the petitioner would be availing the appropriate alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, to seek the redressal of his grievance, as canvassed in this petition.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection in the withdrawal of this petition.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

25.07.2022
neetu

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether Reportable *No*