

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31143-2022
Date of decision : 24.08.2022

Suresh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms. Mukta Mahesh, Advocate for
Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.309 dated 01.07.2022 registered under Sections 323, 452, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Dabua, District Faridabad.

On 20.07.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Inter alia contends that in the present case, the petitioners are old ladies of 50 years and 45 years of age respectively and there is a delay of 5 days in registration of the FIR inasmuch as incident is of 26.06.2022 whereas the present FIR has been registered on 01.07.2022. It is further submitted that at any rate, the alleged injuries which have been caused are simple in nature and petitioner No.1 is the owner of the house where the alleged incident had taken place. It is further contended that the petitioners are not involved in any other case.

Notice of motion for 24.08.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order dated 20.07.2022, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State has submitted that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are not required for any further custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 20.07.2022 and also the fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 20.07.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

24.08.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No