

225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8105-2020

Date of Decision: 29th August, 2022

Inderjeet Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. D.P.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition was filed seeking directions to official respondents to protect life and liberty of the petitioner and to restrain the private respondents No. 5 to 8 from threatening and blackmailing the petitioner.

2. Notice of motion was issued.

3. Learned State counsel had filed reply dated 11th December, 2020. Para 6 of the reply is reproduced below:-

“6. That it is respectfully submitted that vide the present petition, the petitioners have prayed for protection of her life and liberty at the hands of respondent nos. 5 to 8 and for taking action on her complaint (Annexure P-4). In response to the same, it is submitted that the complaint (Annexure P-4) was duly looked into and inquired into by the deponent and finding the allegations leveled therein to be false, the said complaint was recommended to be filed in the office by the deponent, which was filed in the office by the SSP, District SAS Nagar. It is submitted that no threat has been assessed to the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent nos. 5 to 8.”

4. As per the reply filed, the allegations made in the complaint were found to be false and the complaint was filed.
5. In view of the reply filed by learned State counsel, no further directions are called for.
6. The petition is disposed of.
7. However, petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievance if any.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

29th August, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No