

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CRWP-7027-2022

Date of Decision : **July 22, 2022**

BALRAJ SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for
Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing the respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the petitioner is running an Immigration Office under the Immigration Act for facilitation to the people for applying visas and tickets etc. Respondent No.5 also approached him for getting visa for America but he was advised that it is very difficult to get work visa for America. The petitioner did a lot of work and had spent lot of money on respondent No.5 but he did not pay anything to him. However, he had been extending threat to the petitioner for entering into compromise and also threatening false implication. He further submitted that the representation dated 14.7.2022 has been given to Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar vide Annexure P-2 which has not been considered by the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

I have heard the learned proxy counsel for the petitioner.

On the face of it, the present petition is frivolous. Firstly, a perusal of the representation Annexure P-2 would show that it is dated 14.7.2022 which is addressed to the Commissioner of Police. There is nothing on record to show as to whether the petitioner has actually given this representation to the Commissioner of Police or not. There is neither any diary number nor registration number nor any other mode whatsoever stated in the petition or attached alongwith the petition. In addition to this, this representation is purported to have been filed on 14.7.2022 and the present petition has been filed on 17.7.2022 after a period of 3 days. It cannot be expected that even if assumingly the representation was given on 14.7.2022 it could have been even reached at the office of the Commissioner of Police and the present petition has been filed in a period of 3 days. Secondly, even in the representation itself according to the prayer made, the dispute is purely a financial dispute which is raised by the petitioner and, therefore, cannot become a subject matter for invoking the extra ordinary power of this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India since the representation is also vague.

The present petition is devoid of any merit and is, therefore, dismissed.

July 22, 2022
ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No