

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

**Sr.No.111**

**CRM-M No.31415 of 2022 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 27<sup>th</sup> July, 2022.**

Partap Singh Rana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Digvijay Dalal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\* \* \* \*

**MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)**

**CRM-M No.25303 of 2022**

Heard.

Keeping in view the reasons as mentioned in the present application, the same is allowed.

**CRM-M No.31415 of 2022**

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that as regards the relief sought by the petitioner in his representations (Annexures P-1 & P-2) qua taking legal action against the persons as named therein, he (petitioner) would be resorting to the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, for this purpose and so far as his prayer regarding the protection of his life and liberty is concerned, he would move a fresh representation to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali, exclusively praying therein

for the afore-said protection only and he further prays that the said respondent be directed to look into and take appropriate action on the fresh representation of the petitioner within some specific time frame.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection to the above-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn with a direction to respondent No.2 that in case, the petitioner moves any fresh representation to him restricting his prayer therein to the protection of his life and liberty only, he shall look into the same and if the petitioner would be found to be genuinely deserving the said protection, then he shall take appropriate action strictly in accordance with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of two weeks.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)

JUDGE

27.07.2022.

seema

|                            |     |
|----------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| Whether Reportable?        | No  |