

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.6949 of 2022

Date of Decision: 20.07.2022

Ram Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that so far as the relief, as sought by the petitioner in his representation Annexure P-3 regarding taking the legal action against the accused persons as mentioned therein, is concerned, the petitioner would be resorting to the appropriate alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, for this purpose and as regards his prayer qua the protection of his life and liberty, he would be moving a fresh representation to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar within two days, while exclusively praying therein for the said protection only. He further prays that the said respondent be directed to look into and take appropriate action on the fresh representation of the petitioner within some specific time frame and the present petition may be disposed of accordingly.

2. Mr. Amitoj Singh Dhaliwal, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has appeared on behalf of all the respondents in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection to the above-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands disposed of with the direction to respondent No.3 that in case, the petitioner moves any fresh representation to him restricting his prayer therein to the protection of his life and liberty only, he shall look into the same and if the petitioner would be found to be genuinely deserving the said protection, then he would take appropriate action strictly in accordance with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the instant matter, within a period of one week.

4. It is further clarified that this order shall not be construed to be a shield to the petitioner against any action/proceedings already initiated or intended/contemplated to be initiated against him by any competent authority/person in view of the facts and circumstances of the present matter and permissible under any relevant provisions of law.

July 20, 2022
pooja

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*

Whether Reportable: *No*