

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SR. No.254

CRM-M-34826-2021

Date of Decision:23.03.2022

Ritu Raj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Nain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

[The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court.]

SANT PARKASH, J.

Petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.1323 dated 08.12.2016 under Sections 148/149/302/307/341/506/120-B IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Karnal City, District Karnal.

Brief facts of the case are that the present case was got registered on the statement of complainant-Saneep @ Sanju to the effect that on 08.12.2016, when he along with Amit, Gulab, Manoj, Chand, Rajesh and Naresh were travelling in a Fortuner Car to attend the marriage function at Ramdev Colony, the accused persons, who were armed with pistols and guns, stopped their vehicle and started firing, resulting into death of Naresh, Rajesh and Gulab Singh. During investigation of the case, the petitioner and co-accused were arrested.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner

has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner has not inflicted any injury to any of the injured/deceased. He next submits that the petitioner is in custody since 17.12.2016. He also submits that if the petitioner is granted regular bail, he will abide by all the terms and conditions as imposed by this Court.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner along with co-accused committed the murder of three persons and also inflicted the injuries to others. Thus, he submits that the present petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail.

Heard.

From the perusal of the case file, it is emerged that the complainant party was attacked by an unlawful assembly of which the petitioner was one of the members. The assailants were armed with pistols and guns. It is further emerged that three persons were murdered and two persons were injured by the said unlawful assembly. Moreover, counsel for the petitioner has failed to point out any material on the basis of which, it can be said that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case.

Taking into consideration the seriousness of allegations against the petitioner and without commenting anything on merits, the instant petition for regular bail filed by the petitioner is hereby dismissed as such.

23.03.2022
mks

(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO

Whether Reportable: YES / NO