

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

CWP-15473-2022

Date of Decision :20.07.2022

**Kiran Bala****...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the respondents wrongly disengaged the services of the petitioner as Extension Lecturer on 12.09.2020 though, the petitioner was fully eligible to continue even as per the Guidelines/Instructions dated 04.03.2020 (Annexure P/4) issued by the respondents, which have already been interpreted by the Division Bench of this Court while passing interim order dated 10.11.2021 in LPA No.814-2021, which interim order has already attained finality.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the interim order dated 10.11.2021 passed by a Division Bench of this Court in LPA No.814-2021, though, the petitioner might be ineligible as per the rules governing the service but, the similarly situated employees, who were the appellants in LPA No.814-2021, have been allowed to continue in service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that after being relieved from service by the respondents, the petitioner has also attained the eligibility as prescribed under the rules governing the service

hence, the petitioner is entitled for being adjusted as Extension Lecturer at place, where she was already working prior to her relieving from service by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the grievance as raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, has already been raised by her before the respondents by way of filing a representation dated 27.06.2022 (Annexure P/10), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and prays that the respondents be directed to consider the case of the petitioner for readjustment, keeping in view the policy dated 04.03.2020 (Annexure P/4), coupled with the order passed by a Division Bench of this Court in LPA No.814-2021 on 10.11.2021.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 27.06.2022 (Annexure P/10) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in her representation, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 27.06.2022 (Annexure P/10) by passing a speaking order within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

**July 20, 2022**  
*aarti*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable : Yes/No*