

207 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
(3 cases) **AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CWP No.16417 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.10.2022

Iqbal Singh Dhaliwal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Additional Deputy Commissioner (G)-cum-Additional District Magistrate,
Ludhiana and others

.....Respondents

2. CWP No.37156 of 2019 (O&M)

Jaswinder Kaur Dhaliwal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Additional Deputy Commissioner (G)-cum-Additional District Magistrate,
Ludhiana and others

.....Respondents

3. CWP No.36964 of 2019 (O&M)

Shiv Charan Singh Dhaliwal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Additional Deputy Commissioner (G)-cum-Additional District Magistrate,
Ludhiana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Ashish Soi, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CWP-16417-2021 and
for respondent No.3 in CWP-37156 & 36964-2019.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CWP-37156-2019 and CWP-36964-2019
and for respondent Nos.3 and 4 in CWP-16417-2021.

Mr. Sandeep, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Narinder Saini, Advocate,
for respondent No.4 in CWP-37156 & 36964-2019.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

This shall dispose of three writ petitions i.e. CWP Nos.16417-
2021, 37156 & 36964-2019.

The petition CWP No.16417-2017 has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ, direction or order in the form of Certiorari for quashing the order of maintenance emanating from the Appellate Tribunal's decision dated 06.06.2019 (Annexure P-12), passed while dismissing the appeal filed by respondent No.3 for declaring Sale Deeds as null and void, with certain other prayers made in the present petition.

It is submitted by the counsel for the petitioner in CWP-16417-2017 that vide order dated 24.11.2021, the matter was referred to the Mediation Centre at Ludhiana. However, as per report dated 09.02.2022 received from the Mediator, the settlement could not be arrived at before the Mediator. But subsequently the parties have amicably settled the entire dispute. Therefore, the present petition(s) has been rendered as infructuous.

Even, the counsel for the respondents, who is counsel for the petitioner in CWP Nos.37156 & 36964 of 2019 has not disputed about the factum of the settlement being arrived at between the parties.

In view of the above, all the above said three writ petitions have been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered as infructuous.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

11.10.2022

sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No