

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(116)

CWP-15427-2022

Date of Decision : July 20, 2022

Shri Niwas

.. Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Agro Industries Corporation Ltd and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate, and
Ms. Gitanjali Chhabra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

The challenge in this writ petition is to the disciplinary proceedings, which the respondents have initiated against the petitioner after his retirement so as to recover the alleged loss caused by the petitioner to the Corporation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the question of law raised in the present petition that disciplinary proceedings cannot be initiated after retirement in the absence of a Rule to the said effect giving jurisdiction to the employer, is already covered by the judgment of this Court in *CWP No. 25369 of 2018 titled as Shaminder Singh Vs. The Punjab Agro Industries Corporation and another, decided on 30.09.2019.*

Notice of motion.

Mr. Padam Kant Dwivedi, Advocate, who is present in the

Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the fact that the question of law raised in the present petition is already decided by this Court in *Shaminder Singh's case (supra)*. Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly submits that the present petition can be disposed of in terms of the said judgment.

Keeping in view the above, the present petition is disposed of in terms of the decision in *Shaminder Singh's case (supra)*.

July 20, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No