

**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. **CRM-M No.30850 of 2022**
Date of Decision: 02.09.2022

Dalip Sharma ...Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Kumar Jain ...Respondent

2. CRM-M No.30851 of 2022

Dalip Sharma ...Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Kumar Jain ...Respondent

3. CRM-M No.30853 of 2022

Dalip Sharma ...Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Kumar Jain ...Respondent

4. **CRM-M No.30882 of 2022**

Dalip Sharma ...Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Kumar Jain ...Respondent

5. **CRM-M No.30899 of 2022**

Dalip Sharma ...Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Kumar Jain ...Respondent

6. CRM-M No.30922 of 2022

Dalip Sharma ...Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Kumar Jain ...Respondent

.....

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s) in all the six petitions.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s), in all the instant six (06) petitions, has submitted the copies of the demand drafts worth Rs.15 lac, Rs.10 lac, Rs.10 lac and Rs.05 lac as well as of the order passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurugram, on 27.07.2022, in the Court today and the same have been placed on the file of **CRM-M No.30850 of 2022**. He seeks permission to withdraw all the six (06) petitions while submitting that the parties have amicably settled their dispute before the trial Court, as reflected in the afore-said documents.

At this stage, Mr. Gurmohan Singh Bedi, Advocate, has appeared on behalf of the respondent in all the petitions and has submitted his Power of Attorneys and the same are taken on the record in all the petitions. He submits that he has no objection in the withdrawal of all these petitions.

Resultantly, the petitions in hand stand dismissed for having been withdrawn.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

02.09.2022
neetu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>No</i>