

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.30813 of 2022
Date of Decision: 10.10.2022**

Sandeep Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Varinder Basa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate appearing for
Mr. Yaseen Sethi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners have invoked the jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C for seeking the quashing of the FIR bearing No.19 dated 23.02.2022 registered at Police Station Rangar Nangal, District Batala, Gurdaspur, under Sections 323, 324 read with Section 34 IPC as well as all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, while averring that the parties have arrived at a compromise qua their dispute culminating in the registration of the said FIR.

2. Bereft of unnecessary details, the allegations, as levelled by informant-injured Sher Singh in the subject FIR, are that the petitioners caused injuries to him with '*datar*' and '*danda*' (a thick wooden stick).

3. Vide the order dated 19.07.2022 as passed by this Court, the private parties had been directed to appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 25.08.2022 for recording their statements in respect of the said compromise. In compliance of the afore-said order, learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Batala, recorded their (parties') statements and has submitted her report (which is already available on the file) while mentioning therein that the compromise affected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and has been arrived at between them out of their free will and that as per the statement of ASI Palwinder Singh, the Investigating Officer, three persons, i.e the petitioners, were arraigned in the subject FIR and none of them has been declared proclaimed offender and except complainant-Sher Singh, i.e respondent No.2, there is no other complainant or aggrieved person in the case. The copies of the statements of the petitioners and respondent No.2 as well as the above-named Investigating Officer have been annexed with the said report.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 in the present petition and have also perused the file carefully.

5. The afore-said compromise has been affected to put the dispute between the parties at rest for all the times to come and the same would promote peaceful, harmonious and cordial relations between them. It being so,

there are bleak chances of the conviction of the petitioners and in these circumstances, the continuation of the proceedings in the case arising out of the said FIR would be an exercise in futility.

6. Keeping in view the above-discussed facts and circumstances and also the observations as made by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another (2012) 4 RCR (Criminal) 543**, the FIR bearing No.19 dated 23.02.2022 registered at Police Station Rangar Nangal, District Batala, Gurdaspur, under Sections 323, 324 read with Section 34 IPC as well as all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom (if any), are hereby quashed. The petition in hand stands allowed accordingly.

October 10, 2022
pooja

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>