

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1

CWP-15211-2020

Date of Decision : **October 27, 2022**

RASHMI KAKKAR AND ORS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....Respondents

2

CWP-1720-2021

SUNIL KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present : Mr. R.K.Doon, Advocate
for the petitioners in CWP-15211-2020.

Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate and
Mr. Ashish Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-1720-2021.

Mr. Sandeep S.Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI. J. (Oral)

Both the petitions are taken up together for disposal as the same issue arises in both the petitions.

The prayer of the petitioners in the present petitions is not to relieve the petitioners from the post of extension lecturers on which they are currently working keeping in view the fact that the workload for the said post exists with the Department even after undertaking the regular selection process.

The learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that even as per the instructions which have been issued by the respondent-

Department dated 4.3.2020, which have been further amended on 11.5.2022, the petitioners are entitled to continue in service keeping in view the order passed by the Division Bench in LPA No.814 of 2021 titled Amrit Kaur Vs. State of Haryana and others.

The learned State counsel submits that as per his instructions, no order causing prejudice to the petitioners have been passed so far.

The learned State counsel submits that in case the workload exists for continuing the service of the petitioners, the petitioners will be allowed to continue either at the place where they are working at the moment or wherever the workload exists. The learned State counsel further submits that appropriate order in this regard will be passed and the order passed by the Division Bench in Amrit Kaur (Supra) will be kept in mind and till any such order is passed, no coercive action will be taken against the petitioners and the petitioners will be allowed to continue in service.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioners survives in the present petition and the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having not pressed at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

October 27, 2022
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No