

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15653 of 2022

Date of Decision:-29.09.2022

Mangat Din and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.S. Madan, Advocate and
Mr. Mahender Joshi, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 6-NHAI.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. G.N. Malik, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuing direction and quashing of the impugned notifications dated 12.7.2021 and 11.2.2022 (Annexures P-1 and P-2) issued by respondent No.2, whereby land measuring 31 Kanals and 15 Marlas, which is being used for the purpose of Graveyard (kabristan) and managed by respondent No.4 has been acquired for the purpose of laying Highway and all consequential proceedings arising out of the said impugned notifications.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has gone through the status report dated 10.09.2022 filed by the respondent Nos.2 and 6 and states that the present petition can be disposed of as he is satisfied with this status report that alternate land can be given to the petitioners as is evident from the Reports Annexures R-2/2 and R-2/3 concluded in the meeting dated 24.8.2022 conducted by the Deputy Commissioner, Karnal and attended by the petitioners.

Keeping in view the reports Annexures R-2/2 and R-2/3, this petition is disposed of by giving direction to respondent No.6-Project Director, National Highway Authority of India to proceed further with exchange of land, as observed in the Reports Annexures R-2/2 and R-2/3 and provide the alternate land within a period of three months from the date of passing of this order.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

September 29, 2022
Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No