

304-24

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
RFA No. 955 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:19.04.2022

State of Haryana and Anr.
Manju and Anr.

Versus

..... Appellants
..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana
with Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana,
Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana, and,
Mr. Harsh Vardhan, AAG, Haryana.
Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate.

Mr. Jaivir Yadav, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Nitish Sharma, Advocate

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate
with Mr. Saurabh Garg, Advocate
Mr. Ram Darshan Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Surjit Singh Chaudhary, Advocate
Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate
Mr. Sahil Gupta, Advocate
Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Gulshan Nandwani, Advocate
Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate
for Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate
Mr. A.K. Yadav, Advocate
Mr. S.S. Khurana, Advocate
for the land owners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

CM-2374-CI-2021

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed and delay of 606 days in filing the appeal stands condoned.

RFA No. 955 of 2021 (O&M)

For orders see order of even date passed in “RFA-309-2021 titled as State of Haryana and Anr. vs. Rajbir and Anr.”

19.04.2022
manju

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking
Whether reportable

:
:

Yes
No