

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26639-2019
Date of decision : 20.04.2022

Kulwinder Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Anantdeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Peeush Gagneja, Advocate
for respondents no.2 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.242 dated 23.11.2018 registered under Sections 420, 406, 120-B IPC at Police Station City Moga, District Moga.

On 01.07.2019, a coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners, inter alia, states that the FIR is an outcome of a monetary dispute between family members and the petitioners are willing to settle the matter through Mediation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays for time to implead LR's of the complainant.

List on 14.08.2019.

The arrest of the petitioners shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE”

01.07.2019.

On 10.02.2022, following order was passed by a coordinate Bench of this Court :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners has informed that a part payment of Rs.7 lakhs has been paid.

Learned counsel representing the complainant does not dispute the aforestated position.

List on 20.4.2022.

To be taken up along with CRM-M-5470-2022.

10.2.2022 **(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)**
JUDGE”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, compromise has been effected in the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and as per the said compromise, total amount of Rs.10 lacs had to be paid to respondents no.2 to 4 and the entire amount of Rs. 10 lacs has been paid.

Learned counsel for respondents no.2 to 4 has submitted that Rs.10 lacs has been paid and Rs.1 lac out of the same, has been paid by cheque.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would see to it that the cheque is honoured.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances moreso, the fact that the matter has been compromised in the medication and conciliation centre of this Court and the petitioner has also complied with the terms of the said compromise, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 01.07.2019 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently

of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

April 20, 2022
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No