

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-1942-2018

Date of Decision: 9th May, 2022

Adaz (Azad) and others

Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This revision petition was filed aggrieved of order dated 3.5.2018 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad framing of charge in case of FIR No. 140 dated 7.9.2017 registered under Sections 148, 149, 186, 225, 307, 332, 353 IPC at P.S. Tigaon, District Faridabad.

The basic grievance in the present petition is that taking the allegations at the highest, that the service revolver was snatched and pointed at the SHO, still no attempt to murder was made, for invoking Section 307 IPC.

However, learned counsel for the petitioners after arguing for some time seeks liberty to raise all the issues raised in the present petition before the Trial Court at an appropriate stage. He further submits that the age of petitioner No.4 is now 80 plus hence her personal appearance be exempted.

The petition is disposed of as not pressed with liberty as prayed for.

However, the petitioner No.4 would be at liberty to move an application with prayer for exempting personal appearance.

There is no doubt that if such an application is made before the Court concerned the same shall be decided on merits in accordance with law taking into consideration the age of the petitioner No.4.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

9th May, 2022
anuradha

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |