

111.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15301-2022

Date of Decision: 20.07.2022

HARISH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The petitioner herein is seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.3 to revise the result after giving him the benefit of 4 marks for graduation, for appointment to the post of Male Constable, under EWS category, advertised vide advertisement No.04/2020, dated 30.12.2020 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that while declaring the final result, his graduation marks were not added. For his grievance, he has already filed representations dated 01.07.2022 (Annexure P-5) followed by another representation dated 06.07.2022 (Annexure P-6) before respondent No.3, but till date, no response was received. He would submit that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case his representations are decided, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representations of the petitioner.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide representation dated 06.07.2022 (Annexures P-6) of the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

It is made clear that in case the petitioner is found entitled to four additional marks, let the necessary recommendations be made without any delay if he satisfies all other criteria.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

20.07.2022

sanjeev	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable:	Yes/No