

304-80

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 1036 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision:19.04.2022

Shanti and Ors.

..... Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Jaivir Yadav, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Nitish Sharma, Advocate

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate
with Mr. Saurabh Garg, Advocate
Mr. Ram Darshan Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Surjit Singh Chaudhary, Advocate
Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate
Mr. Sahil Gupta, Advocate
Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Gulshan Nandwani, Advocate
Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate
for Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate
Mr. A.K. Yadav, Advocate
Mr. S.S. Khurana, Advocate
for the land owners.

Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana
with Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana,
Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana, and,
Mr. Harsh Vardhan, AAG, Haryana.
Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

CM-2627-CI-2021

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed and delay of 543 days in re-filing the appeal stands condoned.

However, the land owners shall not be entitled to interest for the period of delay.

CM-2626-CI-2021

mentioned in para 2 of the application, are impleaded on record.

RFA No. 1036 of 2021 (O&M)

For orders see order of even date passed in **“RFA-309-2021 titled as State of Haryana and Anr. vs. Rajbir and Anr.”**

19.04.2022
manju

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking : Yes
Whether reportable : No