

SMT. SUNITA DEVI AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURIPresent: Mr. S.P.Chahar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

The revision petition has been preferred against order dated 05.05.2018 passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurugram vide which the petitioners have been summoned for having committed the offence under Section 498-A/406/304-B/302 read with Section 34 IPC on the application under Section 319 Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that the trial of the case has culminated in conviction of the petitioners and the co-accused. As such, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

27.04.2022
renubala(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGEWhether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No