

213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30472-2022
Date of Decision: 29.09.2022

KARANDEEP SINGH

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Gursimran Singh Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

On 18.07.2022 following order was passed:

“Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 72 dated 10.03.2020 under Sections 363, 366-A IPC, registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR has been registered on the allegations that the victim, who is stated to be aged 15/16 years, went missing from the house on 09.03.2020. It was suspected that the petitioner has kidnapped the victim by alluring her to solemnize marriage. It has been further stated that in fact the victim was aged more than 18 years at the time of occurrence. The petitioner and the victim have solemnized marriage on 29.04.2020 and even a child has been born from the wedlock on 17.12.2020. Annexures P-2 and P-4 are the copies of the marriage and birth certificate, respectively. Furthermore, the petitioner and the victim had also preferred a petition in this Court seeking protection to their life and liberty on the allegations that they had solemnized marriage.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Adjourned to 29.09.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined the investigation in pursuance of the interim directions issued by this Court.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect and has stated that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 18.07.2022 is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

29.09.2022

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No