

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30452-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.07.2022

Inderjeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No. 111 dated 24.11.2021 registered under Sections 494, 379 IPC, at Police Station Baja Khana, District Faridkot, and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnised with Khushwinder Singh-complainant on 10.12.2020; that as per the allegations, the petitioner along with her parents and one Sanjeev Tiwari, ex-husband of the petitioner, in connivance with each other, facilitated the marriage of the petitioner with the complainant to grab his property; that the complainant in his subsequent statement recorded on 15.07.2021, after two days of filing of the complaint, has stated that the petitioner, before leaving his house, has taken away Rs. 1,70,000/- and the

gold ornaments weighing around 60 grams; and that the offence under Section 379 IPC is not made out against the petitioner, being the legally married wife of the complainant.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and have also gone through the paper-book.

There are specific allegations against the petitioner that despite being already married with Sanjeev Tiwari, the petitioner solemnised marriage with the complainant and thereafter, the petitioner taken away Rs. 1,70,000/- and the gold ornaments weighing around 60 grams.

Considering the nature of allegations against the petitioner, no ground for quashing of the FIR is made out.

Dismissed.

25.07.2022

Mangal Singh

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No