

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-30448-2022**

**Date of Decision: 27.7.2022**

Randeep @ Deepa

**....Petitioner**

**VERSUS**

State of Haryana

**....Respondent**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Partap Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Naveen Siwach, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**KARAMJIT SINGH, J.**

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.162 dated 15.3.2022 registered under Sections 323, 342, 365, 397, 506, 34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act (Section 324 IPC and Sections 25(1-B) and 27/54/59 of Arms Act added later on) at Police Station Old Industrial, Panipat, District Panipat.

As per the allegations appearing on the record, complainant-Balwan Singh was abducted by the petitioner and his companions, who gave beatings to Balwan Singh and forcibly took him in their vehicle and also taken away ₹ 10,000/- belonging to him and the complainant after escaping from the clutches of the accused persons, reported the matter to the police.

The counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was

named in the FIR and is in custody since 15.3.2022 and that the police has presented the challan on completion of investigation. He further contends that similarly situated co-accused Baljeet, Jagmal, Vikram @ Khan have already been granted concession of regular bail by this Court. He further contends that now the matter has been compromised with the complainant who has given his affidavit (Annexure P-2) in favour of the petitioner. The counsel for the petitioner further made prayer that petitioner be also released on regular bail.

Mr. Naveen Siwach, Advocate appearing for the complainant has pleaded no objection if the bail is granted to the petitioner.

Present petition is resisted by the State counsel who submitted that the petitioner is facing serious charges and was named in the FIR and that the trial is yet to commence. However, State counsel on instructions from ASI Bansi Lal has not disputed the fact regarding grant of bail to 3 of the co-accused namely Baljeet, Jagmal and Vikram @ Khan.

I have considered the submissions made by counsel for the parties.

Admittedly, challan has been presented but charges are not framed. Thus, it will take time for trial to conclude.

For the above reasons, this Court is of the view that no fruitful purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars as the complainant has already effected compromise with the petitioner and with some other co-accused as is evident from Annexure P-2.

Keeping in view facts & circumstances mentioned above and without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail

and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

( KARAMJIT SINGH )  
JUDGE

July 27, 2022

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No