

102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30394-2022

Date of decision:18.07.2022

DIVANSHU SHARMA AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. G.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, Asst. A.G. Punjab

Mr. M.L. Saini, Advocate for
for complainant.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner, by filing the present petition cast under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.29 of 12.05.2022, registered at Police Station City Balachaur, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, wherein offences constituted, under Sections 324, 506, 120-B, 379-B, 34 of IPC, are embodied.

2. This Court does not deem it imperative, to delve into the merits of the case, nor to make any adjudication thereons, as the learned counsel for the petitioners, as also the learned counsel for the complainant, both make a conjoint statement, before this Court, that during the phase of the investigations, being underway into the petition FIR, a compromise has occurred amongst all concerned. The sequel thereof is that, the petitioners become entitled to theirs becoming admitted to anticipatory bail, but subject to theirs ensuring that, they

within a week makes all the relevant recoveries, to the investigating officer concerned, and, also participate in the investigations, as are underway into the petition FIR through their visiting the investigating officer concerned, as and, when their visits are elicited, through a written *hukumnama*, becoming served upon them.

3. With the above condition, the present petition is allowed, and, in the event of the arrest of the bail petitioners, the investigating officer may not arrest the bail petitioners, however, subject to their furnishing personal, and, surety bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer, and, also subject to their rendering co-operation to him, in the investigations to be carried into the offences mentioned in the present FIR. Moreover, also with an undertaking, that they shall not tamper with prosecution evidence, and, nor shall influence the prosecution witnesses.

18.07.2022

ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE