

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

CRM-M-34143-2021

Date of Decision: January 07, 2022

Hari Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Gurdarshan S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.
(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State has referred to para No.10 of the reply and argues that another case under Section 22(c) of NDPS Act is pending against the petitioner.

A perusal of the bail petition does not refer to such case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner is in custody as such family members could not give details and may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with the liberty to file fresh one mentioning all the details of the cases pending against the petitioner.

Given above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

It is clarified that in fresh petition, photocopy of the bail order in FIR No.361 of 2020 as referred in Para No.10 of the reply, if granted, be also annexed with the fresh petition.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

January 07, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: No