205 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26389-2019
Date of Decision:10.10.2022

NARESH LOCHAB AND ORS ... PETITIONERS

VS.

POOJA AND ANR .. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr.Rajesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No.2-State.

*****

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have assailed the order dated 23.04.2019, vide which conditional warrants have been issued.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that the matrimonial dispute of the son of petitioners No. 1 and 2 and brother of petitioner No.3 with respondent No.1 has been amicably settled and the couple is happily residing together. Respondent No.1 has withdrawn the application under Section 12 of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act and as such, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

10.10.2022 **(VIVEK PURI)**
smriti **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No

SMRITI
2022.10.10 16:18
I attest to the accuracy and
authencity of this order / judgment