

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30745-2022

Date of decision : 19.07.2022

Sukhneet Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Krishan Kumar Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners as well as to conduct fair and impartial investigation in FIR No.144 dated 15.11.2020 registered under Sections 307/323/506/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Zira, District Ferozepur.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No.1 had given a representation dated 13.06.2022 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, in which, he has stated that life of the petitioners is under threat from the accused mentioned therein (respondent Nos.4 to 8 in the present petition).

Learned counsel for the petitioners has further submitted that the petitioners would be satisfied in case, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, to look

into the representation dated 13.06.2022 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, looks into the representation dated 13.06.2022 (Annexure P-3) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, to look into the representation dated 13.06.2022 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioners and it would be open to respondent No.2 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the representation.

In view of what has been observed above, the present petition is disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any case.

19.07.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No