

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CRM-M-30361-2022

Date of Decision : **July 18, 2022**

VIKRAMJIT SINGH @ VICKY @ BIKRAM

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition is filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.133 dated 12.7.2015 under Sections 307, 323, 427, 506, 34 of IPC and Sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Division No.4, District Ludhiana.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that earlier the petitioner was granted bail by the learned trial Court but on 27.4.2022 he did not appear before the Court and his bail and surety bonds were cancelled and forfeited to the State and warrants of arrest were issued against the petitioner. He submitted that the reason for absence was that he was involved in another FIR of the year 2022 and, therefore, he could not appear before the Court. He further submitted that he is involved in two more FIRs in which he is already on bail and, therefore, he may be considered for the grant of anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab has stated that he has received an advance copy of the present petition and he has received instructions from ASI Sukhdev Singh who is present in the Court. The learned State counsel submitted that ground of absence of the petitioner before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana

on 27.4.2022 was not justified. He further submitted that it was not a case that due to noting some wrong date or mis-communication happened or because of some medical reason that the petitioner was absent but as per the petitioner himself he was absent because he was escaping arrest in some other FIR and the petitioner is also involved in two more FIRs, the details of which have been given in para No.10 of the petition. He submitted that in view of the fact that he has jumped the bail due to reasons which are not justified, the present petition is liable to be dismissed.

I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

As per the facts of the case, the petitioner absented himself on 27.4.2022 and since he had jumped the bail, his bail bonds and surety bonds were cancelled by the learned trial Court. The explanation given by the learned counsel for the petitioner that he was involved in some other FIR and he was escaping arrest in the subsequent FIR and that was the reason as to why he has absented himself. This Court is of the view that such ground cannot be accepted and is not justified.

In view of the aforesaid position, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is, hereby, dismissed.

July 18, 2022
ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No