

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202

**CRM-M-30423-2022
Date of decision : 22.11.2022**

Sukhdev Singh

..... Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-Sukhdev Singh has filed the instant petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case arising out of FIR No.0029, dated 19.06.2022, under Sections 498-A, 406 of IPC, registered at Police Station PS Women, District Bathinda.

The brief facts of the case are that Manpreet Kaur-complainant alleged in her written complaint that she got married with Sukhdev Singh-petitioner on 23.01.2022. At the time of her marriage, her parents had spent Rs.4,50,000/-, she was given gold ornaments, valuable cloths, customary gifts, at the time of various ceremonies.

After marriage she started living in the matrimonial home at Malout. Her mother-in-law and sister-in-law were unhappy with the

marriage and the dowry articles given to her. They started saying that her parents had not given any car or motorcycle to Sukhdev Singh-petitioner. They started harassing her in order to compel her to bring motorcycle from her parents. She was beaten up in the matrimonial home and ultimately thrown out of the house after giving her beatings. She was brought back in the parental house on 08.02.2022, with these allegations the present FIR has been registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that he has already joined the investigation. The allegations levelled against him are false. The complainant was never maltreated or harassed on account of demand of dowry. She left the house on her own on 08.02.2022. In fact she was saying that the marriage was performed against her wishes. He is ready to abide by the terms and conditions of bail, his anticipatory bail application may be allowed and the interim bail order granted in his favour may kindly be confirmed.

Learned counsel representing the State confirmed that the petitioner has joined the investigation on 29.02.2022, one gold ring and one wrist watch have been recovered. Copy of recovery memo is also placed on record.

I have considered the arguments and the aforesaid facts pointed out by the counsel representing the State, Sukhdev Singh-petitioner has already joined the investigation and some recovery has been effected. He is still ready to abide by the terms and conditions of bail order. Therefore, at this stage no purpose would be served by

sending him behind the bars. Therefore, the interim bail order already granted in his favour vide order dated 18.07.2022 is made absolute subject to the provisions under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Present petition is accordingly disposed of.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

22.11.2022
Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No