

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

206

**CRM-M-34113-2021
Date of decision: 18.04.2022**

TARSEM SINGH ALIAS SEMAPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present : Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab
for the respondent.

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, in case, FIR No. 131 dated 01.05.2021 registered under Sections 379-B (2)/411 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Ranjit Avenue Amritsar, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

The present FIR has been registered on statement of Satinderjit Kaur who alleged that on 30.04.2021 at about 9.15 P.M. when she was standing at the door of her house and talking with her relative on mobile phone, then two boys with weapon like pistol in their hand came on motorcycle and snatched her mobile phone.

The petition has been opposed by learned State Counsel in terms of reply/status report by way of affidavit of Sarbjit Singh PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, North, Amritsar City on behalf of

respondent/State.

I have heard learned Counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner was not named in the FIR. Co-accused of the petitioner has already been granted bail. The petitioner is in custody since 01.05.2021. No recovery is to be effected from the petitioner. Challan has already been presented. Trial is likely to take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody. Therefore, the petitioner may be ordered to be released on regular bail.

On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposed the submissions of learned Counsel for the petitioner and has stated that the allegations against the petitioner are serious. Therefore, the petition for grant of regular bail to the petitioner may be dismissed.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, the period of custody of the petitioner, custodial interrogation of the petitioner not required, trial is likely to take long time but without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on furnishing of personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

18.04.2022
kavneet singh

(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No