

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-30498-2022

Date of Decision:29.08.2022

Lalit

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Rajesh Khandelwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the non-joining of the investigation by the accused, the complainant (petitioner herein) has come up before this Court seeking cancellation of bail granted to the accused by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul vide order dated 07.04.2022 (P-2).

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that respondent No.2 had joined the investigation.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case property was not recovered by the police and it was not handed over to the petitioner and the investigation is unfair.

Since the present petition is for cancellation of bail, as such, counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a representation to the concerned Superintendent of Police for fair investigation.

Given above, the petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for. It is clarified that in case, grievance of the petitioner still exists, he is at liberty to approach this Court seeking fair and partial investigation.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

29.08.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No