

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

**CR-3988 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision:18.07.2022**

Kumari Neha Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

Anil Kumar Jain and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

**Mr. Shrenik Jain, Advocate, for
Mr. Alok Jain, Advocate,
for the respondents.**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

By way of an impugned order, the court has refused to strike off the defence of the respondents for not filing the written statement within the prescribed time as the same has already been filed. The trial court has exercised its discretion which does not require any interference.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner points out that all the efforts are being made to frustrate the decision of the suit as the written statement was filed after 4 years of the institution of the suit.

The petitioner prays for decree of possession by way of partition of the property. The suit is pending since 2015. Hence, the revision petition is disposed of while requesting the trial court to conclude the trial of the suit, positively, within a period of one year, from today.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

**July 18, 2022
nt**

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No