

213

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-17712-2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.11.2022

KAMALJIT KAUR

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Tarun Vir Singh Lehal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. A. G., Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the appointment of Female Constables as the same has been done ignoring the rules and the conditions as specified in the advertisement.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that an advertisement was issued by the State of Punjab in the year 2011 (Annexure P-1), whereby 2000 posts of Female Constables in Punjab Police were to be filled up. The petitioner herein having the necessary qualification of 10+2, applied under the general category. She qualified the Physical Efficiency Test and was then called for interview, however, her name was not reflected in the select list. It is further submitted that ineligible persons have been offered appointment for the said post.

Reply by way of an affidavit of Sh. Parampal Singh, PPS, Assistant Inspector General of Police, PAP Jalandhar has been filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 6, wherein it is stated that as per the advertisement (Annexure P-1), 300 posts of Female Constables were to be filled up by the Recruitment Board in Border Zone. Out of 300 posts, 165 posts were reserved for general category, 63 posts were kept for SC category, 30 posts for BC category, 39 posts for Ex-Servicemen category and 3 posts for WFF. It is submitted that the petitioner herein in fact had obtained a grand total of 21.50 marks and the last selected candidate had obtained 22.50 marks and the petitioner did not have necessary merit to be appointed under the general category for the post of Female Constable.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also perused the pleadings of the case.

I find that there is no merit in the instant writ petition considering the fact that the petitioner herein does not have the necessary merit of being included in the final select list.

Consequently, the instant writ petition is dismissed.

21.11.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No