

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

294

RFA-492-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.12.2022

RAM CHAND (DECEASED) THROUGH LRS ..Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS. ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the landowners.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate
for HSIIDC.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

It has been brought to the notice of the Court that the present appeal has been filed by the landowners in 2019, whereas, a bunch of Regular First Appeals which were connected with the present appeal, were decided on 03.09.2014. The main judgment was passed in **Regular First Appeal No.2075 of 2012, titled as "Sohan Lal and another Vs. State of Haryana and others", decided on 03.09.2014.** It is evident that the appellant is also relying upon the same judgment. In the application, the applicants claim to be poor and illiterate farmers. They are the residents of Village Machhgar, Tehsil Ballabhgarh, District Faridabad, which is located on the outskirts of Delhi. It is evident that the landowners were paid Rs.16,00,000/- per acre along with all other statutory benefits like solatium, additional amount as per the award passed by the Land Acquisition Collector on 29.07.2008. The Reference Court held that the market value of the acquired land was Rs.707/- per sq. yard. Thus, the landowners were paid on the

passing of the award by the Reference Court. Hence, the appellants have failed to furnish plausible explanation for condoning the delay of 2468 days in filing the appeal.

Consequently, the application for seeking condonation of delay as well as the appeal is dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

December 07th, 2022
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*