

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(120)

CWP-15392-2022

Date of Decision : July 19, 2022

Shiv Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Tajeshwar Singh Sullar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present petition, vide order dated 20.03.2012 (Annexure P-3) the petitioner was imposed a punishment of stoppage of three annual increments with future effect, against which punishment, the petitioner had filed statutory appeal dated 31.05.2012 (Annexure P-4) within the time limit.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the fact that nine years have already elapsed but the said appeal has not been decided by the respondents so far, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will be satisfied, in case, a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the appeal dated 31.05.2012 (Annexure P-4) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. R.S. Budhwar, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection in deciding the appeal of the petitioner in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the appeal dated 31.05.2012 (Annexure P-4), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the appeal dated 31.05.2012 (Annexure P-4), if not already decided, within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

July 19, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No