

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16468-2022

Date of Decision: 29.07.2022

Sunita and others

....Petitioners

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

A communication dated 13.11.2020 (Annexure P-8) had been issued by the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Panipat to the Tehsildar, Panipat directing him to enter mutation in favour of the Municipal Corporation in respect of land comprised in Rectangle No. 29//11/2 and 30//16/1. According to the petitioners, the said land is owned by them and, accordingly, representation dated 11.04.2022 (Annexure P-9) has been filed for withdrawal of the said communication but no order has been passed thereupon till date.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf respondents No. 1 to 3 and waives service. At the asking of the Court, he accepts notice on behalf respondent No. 4 as well and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to file reply.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 4 to decide the pending representation dated 11.04.2022 (Annexure P-9) by passing a

speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

29.07.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No