

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(209)

CWP-10316-2016

Date of Decision : March 11, 2022

Arjinder Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

Market Committee Baghapurana

.. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajeev Kataria, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Athar Ahmed, Advocate, for the respondent.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that though, the respondent has stated in its reply that the recovery has been made keeping in view the payment of Rs.1,19,880/- which was to be deducted on the ground of rent payable for the house being occupied by the petitioner but the said fact is being disputed by him and therefore, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to avail appropriate remedy before the Civil Court in case, the petitioner feels that the recovery so done is against the facts and the documents being attached by the respondent are forged.

Ordered accordingly.

March 11, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No