

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 208

CRM-M-33925-2021

Date of decision : 18.04.2022

Prabhjot Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Munish Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Aminder Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.196 dated 26.11.2020 registered under Section 306 IPC at Police Station Passiana, District Patiala.

On 20.08.2021 this Court had passed the following order: -

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.196 dated 26.11.2020 under Section 306 IPC registered at Police Station Passiana, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that a perusal of the FIR in question, which was registered at the instance of his father-in-law, reveals that general and vague allegations have been levelled that since the petitioner and his family had been harassing the deceased, she had consumed some poisonous substance. He further submits that the ingredients to attract the mischief of Sections 306 & 107 IPC are amiss in the present case. Further submits that in fact most of the allegations have been levelled against the petitioner's mother who has already been extended the concession of anticipatory bail vide order dated 20.07.2021 in CRM-M-42856-2020.

Notice of motion for 10.12.2021.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.PC. ”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has joined the investigation; has cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In the light of the reasons stated in the afore quoted order as also the statement made on behalf of the State, the order of this Court dated 20.08.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

18.04.2022
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No