

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 219)

CRR No.3840 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 29.03.2022

Lalti

.....Petitioner

Versus

Ram Sarup

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition challenge is made to the order dated 01.04.2017 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur through which the appeal filed by the respondent-husband against the order dated 03.07.2015 of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Mukerian (for short – the Trial Court) was partly allowed and the compensation @ Rs.10,000/- granted to the petitioner-wife for domestic violence was reduced to Rs.3000/- and likewise the interim maintenance @ Rs.4000/- per month granted to the petitioner-wife by the Trial Court was reduced to Rs.2000/- per month.

On 03.11.2017, this Court had passed the following order :-

“Learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner on the last date of hearing contended that the minor daughters are being looked after by the petitioner and the observations of the learned Courts below are contrary. However, despite

opportunity afforded to place on record any document to show that such a stand was ever taken by the petitioner, none is forthcoming. Further learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is not disputed that the petitioner is living in the government quarter allotted to the respondent. Two minor daughters are being looked after by the said respondent. It is not in dispute that the respondent is earning a sum of Rs.18000/- per month as salary. Prima facie there appears to be no ground to interfere in the impugned order dated 1st April, 2017.

Notice in the application for condonation of delay and revision petition only to the extent of exploring the possibility of an amicable settlement of entire dispute between the parties, for 24.01.2018.”

Perusal of the afore quoted order reveals that notice in the application seeking condonation of delay as well as in the main petition was issued *only* to explore an amicable settlement between the parties.

Mediation has failed.

In the light of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition.

Dismissed.

All pending applications in the matter are also ordered to be dismissed.

29.03.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No